UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,648	08/07/2006	Ryo Sakurai	Q96393	9259
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 04/05/201 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/05/2012	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@sughrue.com sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. 10/588,648	Applicant(s) SAKURAI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
SRILAKSHMI K. KUMAR	2629

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED 1. 🔀 The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been filed. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 if this is a utility or plant application. Note that RCEs are not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. A prior Advisory Action was mailed more than 3 months after the mailing date of the final rejection in response to a first after-final reply filed c) 📙 within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The current period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is earlier. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b) or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST AFTER-FINAL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN THE LIMITED SITUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. 🔲 The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. Hopproposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the nonallowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) will not be entered, or (b) will be entered, and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered

- 3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

 <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
- 12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13.
 Other:

STATUS OF CLAIMS

- 14. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed:
 - Claim(s) objected to:
 - Claim(s) rejected:
- Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

/SRILAKSHMI K KUMAR/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2629 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues where the prior art of Ogura does not teach the problems that are solved by the instant application. The prior art of Ogura is not required to remedy the same problems which are solved by the instant application. Ogura teaches the claimed limitation of a plurality of spacers (shown by the beads) arranged on a surface in a sealing agent portion located on the outer periphery of a display. Applicant argues where the structure of Ogura and the claimed invention are different where Ogura uses beads and the claimed invention uses partition walls, and where in Ogura the distance between the substrates is small, and in the claimed invention, the distance is long. Applicant claims "a plurality of spacers are arranged on a surface of at least one of the front substrate and the rear substrate in a sealing agent arranging portion, which seals a space between the front and rear substrate by a sealing agent". Applicant does not claim that the partition walls are the plurality of spacers and create a long distance between the substrates. Further, in claim 2, applicant claims that the spacers are manufactured when the partition walls are arranged, thus teaching also that the partition walls are not the spacers. Therefore, the plurality of spacers as taught by Ogura teach the claimed limitation. And the combination of Ogura and Lecain is proper as Ogura is added to teach the feature of having a plurality of spacers. Thus, the rejection is maintained.